TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

ZONING BOARD MEETING

Town Hall

1 Heady Street

Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

October 17, 2024

7:00 p.m. - 7:23 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Michael Fleming, Chairman
Wai Man Chin, Vice-Chairman
Chris Beloff, Member
Frank Franco, Member
Michelle Piccolo Hill, Member
Benito Martinez, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Thomas Walsh, Member

Chris Kehoe, AICP, Director of Planning
Michael Cunningham, Esq., Deputy Town Attorney
Heather LaVarnway, CNU-A, Planner

1	October 17, 2024
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MR. MICHAEL FLEMING: Here we go. All
4	right. I'd like to call the October 17, 2024
5	meeting of the town of Cortlandt Zoning Board of
6	Appeals to order. I'd like to start by asking
7	anyone who wishes to do so, please join me in
8	saying the Pledge of Allegiance.
9	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
10	flag of the United States of America and to the
11	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
12	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
13	all.
14	MR. WAI MAN CHIN: All right, thank you
15	for rising.
16	MR. FLEMING: So everyone's had an
17	opportunity to look at the meeting minutes from
18	the August 15th meeting.
19	MR. THOMAS WALSH: Roll call?
20	MR. FLEMING: Oh, I'm sorry, I forgot to
21	do the roll call.
22	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Ms. Piccolo Hill?
23	MS. MICHELLE PICCOLO HILL: Here.
24	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Martinez?

1	October 17, 2024
2	MR. BENITO MARTINEZ: Here.
3	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Franco?
4	MR. FRANK FRANCO: Here.
5	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Fleming?
6	MR. FLEMING: Here.
7	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Chin?
8	MR. CHIN: Here.
9	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Walsh?
10	MR. WALSH: Here.
11	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Beloff?
12	MR. CHRIS BELOFF: Here.
13	MR. FLEMING: All right. Our last
14	meeting was the August 15th meeting. There was
15	not a September meeting because there was no
16	business. So has everyone had an opportunity to
17	review the meeting minutes? And if so, I need
18	someone to make a motion to approve the minutes.
19	MR. FRANCO: So moved.
20	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
21	MULTIPLE: Aye.
22	MR. CHIN: Second, actually.
23	MR. FLEMING: The meeting minutes are
24	approved. And now let's move on to new business.

1	October 17, 2024
2	The first case is the application for Matthew
3	Hager. Is anyone here for the applicant? All
4	right, you can come on forward. Whose case is
5	this?
6	MR. WALSH: Mine. Mine.
7	MR. FLEMING: Tom, it's all yours.
8	MR. WALSH: Hi, Mr. Hager, how are you
9	doing today?
10	MR. MATT HAGER: Fine.
11	MR. WALSH: This is case number 2024-7
12	628 Cardinal Road. Do you want to just explain to
13	us what you're proposing
14	MR. FLEMING: First, just do me a favor,
15	and say your name for the record.
16	MR. HAGER: Matt Hager, 628 Cardinal
17	Road.
18	MR. FLEMING: Thank you.
19	MR. HAGER: I'm building a portico over
20	my front stoop and replace the front door, which
21	doesn't really make a difference to you folks,
22	but the portico. And I believe I'm asking for I
23	think, six inches or so further than what is
24	allowed by your designation. So that's I'm

	Daga
1	October 17, 2024
2	looking for. Yes?
3	MR. CHIN: Speaking into the microphone.
4	MR. HAGER: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm looking
5	for
6	MR. CHIN: We record, that's why.
7	MR. HAGER: Oh, that's true. Okay. So
8	I'm looking do a portico. It looks to be about
9	six inches or so in length more than what you
10	folks allow for your zoning, so that's why I'm
11	here for the variance for that distance.
12	MR. FLEMING: Okay.
13	MR. WALSH: All right. I know that I
14	actually was leading the case of your neighbor at
15	630 Cardinal Road back in 2021 when they proposed
16	the same portico over their front porch.
17	MR. HAGER: Correct. Yeah, the same.
18	MR. WALSH: Yeah, I have, you know, I
19	have no objection to what you're proposing. I
20	think it, as I go through the five factors, it
21	does not pose an undesirable change to the
22	neighborhood. Benefits sought by the applicant
23	can be achieved, you can not put it up there, but
24	I believe it is, it does look nice. It doesn't

1	October 17, 2024
2	take anything in character from the neighborhood.
3	It is not substantial. It's 12.5 percent, five
4	foot variance you're looking for. It does, it
5	will not have an adverse effect on the, on the
6	neighborhood. And it, yeah, the fifth factor is
7	it self-created. Yes, they're all, this is self-
8	created, what you're asking for. Going through
9	the five factors, I don't have any reservation
10	with approving this as proposed.
11	MR. FLEMING: Anyone else in the board
12	have any questions or comments?
13	MR. CHIN: Yes, I, I agree with Mr.
14	Walsh. Basically the portico goes to kind of help
15	going to the front door without getting rained
16	on, snowed on, so forth when you're trying to
17	open up the front door.
18	MR. HAGER: Correct.
19	MR. CHIN: For neighbors or friends to
20	come by, get out of the weather a little bit and
21	I see no problem with it.
22	MR. HAGER: Well, that's good to hear.
23	MR. FLEMING: Anyone else have any
24	questions or comments? Okay. I also have no

	rage 8
1	October 17, 2024
2	questions.
3	MR. MARTINEZ: I have no problem.
4	MR. FLEMING: No questions or comments,
5	I think it'll look very nice and, and I, it looks
6	like you're going to get a yes, so I wish you
7	luck with it, but I think you're
8	MR. HAGER: Great. Thank you very much.
9	Thanks for Christopher's help.
10	MR. WALSH: Anybody else in the public
11	before we
12	MR. CHIN: Zoom, no?
13	MR. WALSH: Anybody on Zoom? No? We good
14	to
15	MR. FLEMING: All right, let's have a
16	motion.
17	MR. WALSH: I make a motion to close
18	case number 2024-7 for 628 Cardinal Road.
19	MR. CHIN: Second.
20	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
21	MULTIPLE: Aye.
22	MR. FLEMING: Any opposed? All right.
23	MR. WALSH: I make a motion that we
24	approve case number 2024-7 for Matthew Hager, 628

1	October 17, 2024
2	Cardinal Road, requesting an area variance
3	required 40 feet, proposed 35 feet for variance
4	of five feet, which is 12.5 percent. This is SEQR
5	type II, no further compliance required.
6	MR. FLEMING: Second?
7	MR. CHIN: Second.
8	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
9	MULTIPLE: Aye.
10	MR. FLEMING: Any opposed? All right, so
11	moved, congratulations.
12	MR. HAGER: Okay. Thank you folks.
13	MR. FLEMING: Good luck to you.
14	MR. HAGER: Thank you.
15	MR. KEHOE: So you'll get the decision
16	and order mailed to you. Martin Rogers will get a
17	copy in the building department and then he needs
18	that in order for you to get your building
19	permit.
20	MR. HAGER: Okay.
21	MR. KEHOE: And it'll be a couple days.
22	MR. HAGER: No problem.
23	MR. KEHOE: Okay.
24	MR. HAGER: Thank you.

	Da == 1
1	Page 1 October 17, 2024
2	MR. WASLH: Thank you. Good luck.
3	MR. FLEMING: All right, next case
4	MR. CHIN: Mr. Martinez.
5	mr. FLEMING: Mr. Martinez.
6	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.
7	MR. FLEMING: This is
8	MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah, we have
9	MR. FLEMING: Nicholas Faustini on
10	behalf of Eric Rubinfeld.
11	MR. MARTINEZ: That's correct.
12	MR. FLEMING: Is somebody somebody here
13	from the applicant? You can come on forward and
14	can take it away.
15	MR. NICHOLAS FAUSTINI: Yes, good
16	evening.
17	MR. FLEMING: How are you?
18	MR. FLEMING: My name is hello, my
19	name is Nicholas Faustini. I'm an architect. I'm
20	presenting the application before you this
21	evening for legalizing an existing, an existing
22	structure in the front yard at 241 Mount Airy
23	Road West. The structure, the subject structure
24	is a shed. Essentially it's a pool shed, it

2.3

October 17, 2024

encloses the pool equipment as well as on the interior remains unfinished and also allows for storage of yard items. The property itself is located in a R-80 zoning district, it's approximately two acres. The existing house itself, the principal building as well as an, an existing in-ground pool, are both nonconforming in terms of setbacks permitted and, and do have certificates of occupancy. The, the item before you this evening is for the accessory shed, which is immediately adjacent to the pool and located in the front yard of the property.

We've presented principle points as to why we feel this legalization of this structure would be to the benefit of the applicant. And it has existed for many years prior to our client's ownership of the property. And he would like to essentially legalize it at this point.

MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, my, actually it's my case. I appreciate the way that you described all the points. I don't see the request as substantial and, and I also love the fact that the neighbor actually was able to write a letter

2.3

October 17, 2024

on behalf of the Eric Rubinfeld family. So I do,

I do not have any problem with this. I don't know

if any one of my colleagues.

MR. FLEMING: I, I agree. I mean, I think it's, it's a, it's a fine looking building. It serves its purpose. I don't think it's out of character for the neighborhood. I think you presented it very well and I appreciate you, you taking the time to present it so well. It makes our job a lot easier when you, when, when you do that. So, yeah, I, I have no problem. I don't think it, it violates any of the five factors other than it is self-created. Of course it is. You chose to build a shed, but that, that doesn't really you know, impact my decision on this anyway. So I think it's, I think it's absolutely fine. And again, thank you for presenting well.

MR. KEHOE: I apologize I was technologically struggling. Did you also reference the need for the variance for the too much accessory structure coverage on the property?

MR. FAUSTINI: So there, there are two

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

variances this evening that are a part of this application. One is for the location of the shed itself within the front yard. The other is for accessory structures, square footage being greater than, more than -- forgive me, more than 50 percent of the principal area of the building. The accessory structures here do include the existing pool, which, which is at the front yard of the property. The pool itself is 800 square feet. The shed is 494 square feet, but includes a covered porch area. So it's not just interior space, it's volume. So that's why that -- the existing home itself is 2,250 square feet, the sum of the pool and the shed is approximately 1,284 square feet, so just over 50 percent.

MR. FLEMING: I thank you for clearing that up. And, and I thank you for the information. Again, I, I don't have any problem granting either variance. Anyone else on the board?

MR. CHIN: Yeah, I, I really have no problem on the variance of the, what you asked for first and the second. Okay. I drive by there

1	October 17, 2024
2	every day. I live on East Mt. Airy Road and I
3	can't even see your structure from the road at
4	any time, you know. Even when the leaves are
5	down, you can't even see it, you know what I
6	mean, so I really have no problem. I don't think
7	it's a detriment to the neighborhood or anything
8	like that. I think it looks great from the
9	pictures and from all the plans and everything
10	else. So again, I would have no problem with
11	granting both variances.
12	MR. FAUSTINI: Thank you.
13	MR. WALSH: And I concur.
14	MR. FLEMING: I think we need to close
15	public hearing. Any motion?
16	MR. MARTINEZ: I make a motion to close
17	the public hearing.
18	MR. FLEMING: Second.
19	MR. CHIN: That's on case 24
20	MR. FLEMING: I'm sorry, we, we didn't
21	ask the public first.
22	MR. CHIN: Oh.
23	MR. FLEMING: I don't think there's
24	anyone here, but if there's anyone here who would

1	October 17, 2024
2	like to make a comment, please let me know or if
3	there's anyone on Zoom who would like to make a
4	comment, please let me know.
5	MR. CHIN: Okay.
6	MR. FLEMING: All right, now, sorry.
7	MR. MARTINEZ: Now I make a motion to
8	close the public hearing on the case number 2024-
9	8.
10	MR. FLEMING: I just need a second.
11	MR. CHIN: Second.
12	MR. FLEMING: All In favor?
13	MULTIPLE: Aye.
14	MR. FLEMING: All right. Public hearing
15	is closed. And now do we have a motion to
16	approve?
17	MR. MARTINEZ: I make a motion to
18	approve the area variance for request for
19	required 1,125 square feet and proposed 1,294
20	square feet, area variance for 169 feet, and we
21	could represent 15 percent.
22	MR. CHIN: And also the
23	MR. FLEMING: What's your second
24	variance?

1	October 17, 2024
2	MR. CHIN: Yeah, the second variance is
3	for the total accessory structure of about 50
4	percent of the, the regular structure, that will
5	be also included into this variance.
6	MR. FLEMING: All right, so we have a
7	motion to approve. I need a second.
8	MS. HILDINGER: A Second.
9	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
10	MULTIPLE: Aye.
11	MR. FLEMING: Any opposed? No.
12	MR. CHIN: Is this is a type II under
13	SEQR?
14	MR. WALSH: Oh, Yes.
15	MR. MARTINEZ: No further, yeah, SEQR,
16	type II. And so we approved the, the variance,
17	both of them, of course. And the SEQR type II, no
18	further compliance is required.
19	MR. CHIN: Second.
20	MR. FLEMING: All right. So same, same
21	thing you had heard. You will get a decision in
22	the mail. It'll be sent to oh, you don't have
23	to send it to Martin because it's already done.
24	MR. KEHOE: Yeah. I have to make, I hand

1	October 17, 2024
2	it to Martin, but yeah, he puts it in the file
3	and then he knows.
4	MR. FLEMING: Whatever he needs to do
5	that, so.
6	MR. FAUSTINI: Okay.
7	MR. FLEMING: So congratulations and
8	again, I do appreciate you presenting it so
9	neatly. It makes it very easy for us. We
10	appreciate the work.
11	MR. FAUSTINI: Thank you very much. Have
12	a good evening.
13	MR. WALSH: Thank You. Good luck.
14	MR. CHIN: Thank you.
15	MR. FLEMING: All right. Is anyone here
16	for the Jasmin Fleming case?
17	MR. KEHOE: Yep.
18	MR. FLEMING: You are here. Okay. So
19	this is Mr. Franco's case if you want to. Yeah.
20	So, so this is case 2024-9 for a variance for
21	MR. KEHOE: Jasmin, you can come up to
22	the mic. Yep.
23	MR. FLEMING: Jasmin, I'm sorry.
24	MS. JASMIN FLEMING: Please step up.

Page 18 1 October 17, 2024 2 MR. FRANCO: Yeah, you can step up. I was, was going to invite you in a second. 3 4 MR. KEHOE: Oh, sorry. MR. FRANCO: An area variance for a 5 front yard setback for a proposed deck. Ms. 6 7 Fleming, if you'd like to present the case. 8 MS. FLEMING: Sure. So last year --9 MR. KEHOE: Oh, you have to use the 10 microphone, sorry. You can pull it down. 11 MS. FLEMING: All right, a little short 12 here. 13 MR. WALSH: State your name and address, 14 too. 15 MS. FLEMING: Jasmin Fleming, 8 Red Mill 16 Road. So this past year was difficult. We had a 17 sewer issue, which led to a foundation sealing 18 and repairing, which we had to remove our 19 original concrete steps that we had, leaving the, 20 the backyard entrance, right, into the house. And 21 when we were going to go replace it, we didn't

realize that it wasn't up to code. So we applied

for this variance so we can have permission to

22

2.3

24

put it back.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2 MR. FRANCO: Mm-Hmm.

MS. FLEMING: And actually extended widthwise versus closer to the street. We have a lot of kids and we needed a little space and keeping it safe for them while going up and down the stairs.

MR. FRANCO: Mm-hmm. Yeah, reviewing it again with the five factors, the benefit can be achieved by some other means, I don't think so for this particular one. It's not an undesirable change to the neighborhood. I, there's already a foundation there, so I don't really see it as a substantial change to what's already existing. It won't have a, I don't see it having an adverse physical effect on the environment. And as we've said on other cases, it is self-created, but it's not the only factor that can make or break it. So considering everything, I don't have an issue with the request. And I guess I'll open it up to the rest of the, the crew if anyone else has any comments.

MR. FLEMING: Again, I think it fits in great with the neighborhood. I think you're going

1	October 17, 2024
2	to, it's going to look lovely and I think it's
3	going to be great. I've got to compliment you on
4	your Halloween decorations in front of your
5	house, it looks very good. I like them a lot.
6	Yeah, but I, I have no problems with this
7	whatsoever.
8	MR. CHIN: Yeah, I have no problem also.
9	MR. FLEMING: Great.
10	MS. HILDINGER: No concerns.
11	MR. MARTINEZ: I have no problem with
12	this.
13	MR. FLEMING: Alright, public.
14	MR. FRANCO: Yeah. Any
15	MR. FLEMING: Does any anyone from the
16	public want to say anything?
17	MR. FRANCO: from the public have any
18	comments?
19	MR. FLEMING: Is there anyone on Zoom
20	who wishes to make a comment? No.
21	MR. FRANCO: All Right. I make a motion
22	to
23	MR. FLEMING: Close public hearing.
24	MR. FRANCO: close the public hearing

	Da ~ a 2 2
1	Page 21 October 17, 2024
2	for 2024-9.
3	MR. WALSH: Second.
4	MR. MARTINEZ: Second.
5	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
6	MULTIPLE: Aye.
7	MR. FLEMING: All right, so public
8	hearing is closed.
9	MR. FRANCO: And I guess we'll make a
10	motion to, well, a motion to approve the case
11	2024-9 for an area variance for the front yard
12	setback proposed 37.25 feet variance is 6.75 feet
13	or 15 percent. This is a SEQR type II. No further
14	compliances required.
15	MR. CHIN: Second.
16	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
17	MULTIPLE: Aye.
18	MR. FLEMING: Any opposed?
19	Congratulations.
20	MR. FLEMING: Thank you. You will get a
21	copy of the order that, coming from this board in
22	the mail, mail. Then it goes to the planning
23	board, I'm sorry, I mean the
24	MR. CHIN: The building department.
	Π

1	Page 22 October 17, 2024
2	MR. FLEMING: building department.
3	MS. FLEMING: Yes.
4	MR. FLEMING: And you can continue with
5	your building permit from there.
6	MS. FLEMING: Okay.
7	MR. FLEMING: Good luck to you.
8	MS. FLEMING: Thank you. Thank you so
9	much, much.
10	MR. FRANCO: Good luck.
11	MS. FLEMING: Okay.
12	MR. FLEMING: All right, the next one is
13	somewhat of an unusual one for us in terms of the
14	procedure. It's Michelle Piccolo Hill's case.
15	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Yes.
16	MR. FLEMING: You can call it.
17	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Yep. So this is for
18	Brian, I hope I pronounce the name right,
19	Sinsabuagh.
20	MR. BRIAN SINSABAUGH: Sinsabaugh.
21	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Sinsabaugh.
22	MR. SINSABAUGH: It was close.
23	MS. PICCOLO HILL: For the property,
24	Iaropoli Construction Corps,

2.3

2 MR. FLEMING: Iaropoli.

MS. PICCOLO HILL: I hope I'm getting these right, at 2077 East Main Street and this is for an area variance under section 307-17, 307 Table of Dimensional Regulations rear yard setback for the removal of an existing carport and the construction of an addition to the primary structure for an enclosed bay wash.

MR. FLEMING: Now this, this currently is before the planning board, who's the lead agency. So --

MR. SINSABAUGH: Correct.

MR. FLEMING: -- this board is not able to vote tonight to approve this.

MR. SINSABAUGH: Understood.

MR. FLEMING: What we will do is listen to your application, open and close the public hearing if there are any comments, and then we'll issue either an objection or a no objection letter to the planning board. And you'll have to come back to them, probably in December. So, that's kind of unusual procedure, but I think that's the way this is going to play out.

1 October 17, 2024 2 MR. SINSABAUGH: Understood. 3 MR. FLEMING: Okay. MR. SINSABAUGH: We anticipated that. 4 All right. 5 MR. FLEMING: Have at it. 6 7 MR. SINSABAUGH: All right, awesome. Thank you for the introduction. My name is Brian 8 9 Sinsabaugh. I'm an attorney of the Zarin and 10 Steinmetz. With me this evening, I have the 11 applicant, John Petri, as well as John Gilchrist, 12 who is the engineer and architect of record. As 13 mentioned, we're seeking an area variance with 14 regard to the existing enterprise Rent-a-Car. 15 Currently, the way it's operated -- it's operated 16 this location since 1990 and currently its 17 operations involve utilizing what is a carport, a 18 temporary structure that's in the rear of 19 property. It's approximately eight feet away from 20 the rear boundary, 8.3 feet and also within eight 21 feet of the side yard boundary. 22 So what we're proposing to do is

structure to an interior addition to the existing

relocate the operations from that carport

2.3

24

2.3

building. That's going to increase the setbacks on the structure. But the reason why we're here before you, even though we are increasing existing setbacks that are previously approved, is that we are removing a temporary structure and putting an addition on a permanent structure.

The -- we don't think that they'll, honestly, this will benefit not only the applicant, but also the surrounding neighborhood. So for the applicant, we have more efficient operations. It's a more attractive site for their customers. With regard to the neighborhood though, we do have greater compliance with setbacks. So again, we're going from 8.3 feet to 15 feet in the rear. And for the side yard we're going from 7.7 feet to 12.8 feet. Just an asterisk on that is that we do not need the variance in the side yard. It has been granted for 12.6 feet for the original structure.

With regard to noise, we're going to be utilizing the same equipment. I had mentioned the equipment and the decibel values within the letter that we submitted. But all those, all that

2.3

equipment currently is being operated with the open tent structure in the rear. We're going to be enclosing all those operations. So it's already in compliance, we believe with regard to the noise ordinance, but it's going to be further in compliance at this time.

Lastly, we're going to be improving aesthetics for the site. As I mentioned, removing a temporary tent like structure is definitely going to significantly improve the view of the site, albeit being in the rear. But we are also proposing fence improvements as part of this as well as consistent design with the architecture. So it's not going to be inconsistent with what's already existing on the site. With that said, if you have any questions, we'd be happy to answer.

MS. PICCOLO HILL: No, I mean I just wanted to say, you know, I appreciate you, you know going through the five points, that was very helpful. I agree with you. I think actually this does improve the area from what you currently have. And while you're asking for a pretty significant variance of 50 percent, when you're

2.3

looking that on paper it's 50 percent, but when you're actually looking in practicality, you're actually further away from the neighbors and you are -- you are making it less of a hindrance to the neighborhood, because you're helping to make the noise less of a concern as well. So I actually don't have any concerns. Does anyone else?

MR. FLEMING: I, I agree. I think this is a, you know, an improvement to the existing business. I, I have many times in the past been a customer of this business and renting cars there. You know, I think it will look much better and much more attractive to your customers. The existing deck is old, the carport looks just like a carport. This, you know, the plans that you, you described, this is going to look far more professional, for lack of a better word. So I think this is a great decision. I'll probably continue to be a customer of theirs, so I'm sure I'll see it in person when it's all done, but I wish, I wish them the best of luck.

MR. SINSABAUGH: Thank you. We hope so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MS. PICCOLO HILL: So --

MR. FLEMING: Anybody else?

MS. PICCOLO HILL: -- we're going to open it up to --

MR. CHIN: Yeah. I'd like to say that I agree with chairman and Ms. Piccolo on this thing. I, I'm, I'm on the zoning board longer than most people here. I mean, I think I am the longest, and I was here when enterprise was first built and back then when the state took over the front and we had to give almost everybody on Route 6 a variance because the state took away 25 feet for making a four-lane roadway instead of just a two-lane roadway. And at that time that it kind of hampered everybody that was on Route 6 but I think it made a big improvement on Route six to, for all the commercial spaces and everything else. And so we gave a, a variance from 25 to zero feet for the front yard back then. And I was here in 2012 also when we, when you did the tent and everything else for the eight feet variance in the back over there. And at that time we had no problem. And now you're

	Daga ()(
1	Page 29 October 17, 2024
2	making it even better, making it to 15 feet with
3	an enclosed structure where you're not going to
4	hear the noise and everything else. I think this
5	is going to be a great job for the neighborhood.
6	MR. SINSABAUGH: Thank you.
7	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Anyone else?
8	MR. FLEMING: Anybody else?
9	MR. MARTINEZ: I also agree. I believe
10	anything that going to improve the, the look of
11	the neighborhood and plus it's going to help you
12	guys and to facilitate the service that you guys
13	provide there. So, I do not have any problem with
14	that either, so.
15	MR. SINSABAUGH: Thank you.
16	MS. PICCOLO HILL: So unless anyone else
17	has anything to say, I'd like to open it up to
18	the public.
19	MR. CHIN: Anybody on Zoom? No.
20	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Or Zoom?
21	MR. FLEMING: Anybody on Zoom? No.
22	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Okay. Then I would
23	like to propose
24	MR. CHIN: Well we're going to close the

	Dago 31
1	Page 30 October 17, 2024
2	public hearing.
3	MS. PICCOLO HILL: that we close the
4	public hearing.
5	MR. FLEMING: I need a motion.
6	MS. PICCOLO HILL: I make a motion to
7	close the public hearing.
8	MR. MARTINEZ: I second.
9	MR. FLEMING: All in favor.
10	MR. CHIN: That's on case 2024-10,
11	right. Okay.
12	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
13	MULTIPLE: Aye.
14	MR. FLEMING: Any Opposed? Public
15	hearing closed.
16	MS. PICCOLO HILL: And then I'd like to
17	suggest that we write a, a note of no objection
18	to the planning board.
19	MR. FLEMING: So we're going to have a,
20	a motion to close the public hearing.
21	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Oh.
22	MR. FLEMING: And a motion and a
23	simultaneous motion to send a no objection letter
24	to the planning board.

	Dago 3
1	Page 3 October 17, 2024
2	MR. CHIN: On the variance.
3	MR. FLEMING: On the variance requested.
4	That's, that's what your motion is, right,
5	Michelle?
6	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Yes.
7	MR. FLEMING: Okay. I need a second.
8	MR. CHIN: I second.
9	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
10	MULTIPLE: Aye.
11	MR. FLEMING: Any opposed? No. All
12	right. So public hearing is closed. We're going
13	to send our no objection letter. Your next, you
14	will hear from them, I suspect you'll be on the
15	December, you know, hearing for the planning
16	board.
17	MR. SINSABAUGH: Yes. I, I think we're
18	on November 7th, we have a public hearing.
19	MR. KEHOE: They'll be back with you In
20	December, most likely.
21	MR. CHIN: Okay, we'll see you in
22	December, we'll make our final decision then.
23	MR. FLEMING: And then we'll issue a
24	final decision for you.

1	October 17, 2024
2	MR. SINSABAUGH: Excellent. Thank you
3	very much.
4	MR. FLEMING: All right, thank you very
5	much.
6	MR. MARTINEZ: Good luck.
7	MR. FLEMING: Is there anyone else with
8	any business? No. I need a motion to close
9	today's hearing.
10	MR. CHIN: So moved.
11	MR. WALSH: Second.
12	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
13	MULTIPLE: Aye.
14	MR. FLEMING: All right. The motion
15	the meeting is adjourned. I'll see you all in
16	November.
17	MR. FRANCO: All right.
18	(The public board meeting concluded at
19	7:23 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on October 17, 2024 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: November 1, 2024

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669

New York, NY 10003